UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/804,592	03/19/2004	William Galbraith	80154	9558	
26253 7590 05/15/2007 DAVID W. HIGHET, VP AND CHIEF IP COUNSEL BECTON, DICKINSON AND COMPANY 1 BECTON DRIVE, MC 110 FRANKLIN LAKES, NJ 07417-1880			EXAMINER		
			YU, MELANIE J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1641		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/15/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

vi .					
Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/804,592	GALBRAITH, WILLIAM		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Melanie Yu	1641		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. D period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NATE OF THIS COMMUNIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a r will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 March 2007</u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-6 and 24-31 is/are pending in the a	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 24-31</u> is/are rejected.				
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
•	The specification is objected to by the Examine				
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 March 2004</u> is/are:				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
44)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•			
11)[]	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached	Office Action or form P10-152.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen		119(a)-(d) or (f).		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen		pplication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Burea	· (•	received in this National Stage		
* :	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		received.		
			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Attachmer	nt(s)				
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)		
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application		
	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/5</u> .	6) Other:			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

Application/Control Number: 10/804,592 Page 2

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5 March 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 1. Claims 1, 2, 4, 6 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sjohölm et al. (US 4,061,466) in view of Spring et al. (US 5,643,721) further in view of Degen et al. (US 5,567,615).

Sjohölm et al. teach an apparatus comprising an insoluble support (cross linked agarose or microparticles) having a ligand of bromosulfophthalein attached thereto (bromosulphophthalein is bromosulfophthalein) without being exposed to albumin (particles

Application/Control Number: 10/804,592

Art Unit: 1641

are capable of absorbing albumin, but are not present when bromosulphophthalein is attached to the particle, example 9, col. 9, lines 34-43). Sjohölm et al. fail to teach the ligand attached to the support via an epoxy linkage.

Spring et al. teach ligands attached to an agarose substrate by an epoxy linker may be an agarose substrate (col. 5, lines 50-55), in order to provide a mixture that dries in a film form on the surface to which it is applied.

Degen et al. teach a ligand having a hydroxyl group (col. 12, line 46) attached to a polymer support via an epoxy linker (col. 12, lines 41-47), in order to provide attachment of ligands to a polymer substrate.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the apparatus of Sjohölm et al., an epoxy linkage between the ligand and the agarose support as taught by Spring et al., in order to provide a simple method of attaching ligands having a hydroxyl group to a substrate by way of a spontaneous covalent attachment as taught by Degen et al. Degen et al. do not specifically teach a bromosulphophthalein ligand being attached to an agarose support. However, Degen et al. teach that epoxy linker attachment is advantageous for ligands having a hydroxyl group and Spring et al. teach that an epoxy linker is advantageous to link ligands to an agarose support. Since bromosulphophthalein comprises a hydroxyl group, Degen et al. teach the epoxy linkage would be a simpler and advantageous method of attachment of bromosulphophthalein to a substrate, and Spring et al. teach that it would have been obvious for the substrate that the epoxy linker attaches to, to be an agarose support. Therefore an epoxy linker is advantageously used to attach the ligand to the agarose substrate of Sjohölm et al.

With respect to claims 2, 4 and 6, Sjohölm et al. teach the support contained within a container (particles are in a container, col. 4, lines 60-67) and the container being a bottle

Application/Control Number: 10/804,592

Art Unit: 1641

(beaker is a bottle, col. 8, lines 23-26). Sjohölm et al. also teach a the support being a matrix (polyethylene glycol is a matrix and entrapped in the particles, col. 9, lines 39-43).

2. Claims 1-6, 24-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grahnén et al. (The preparation of Ligandin with Glutathione-S-Transferase Activity from Porcine Liver Cytosol by Affinity Chromatography on Bromosulphophthalein-Sepharose, 1977, Eur. J. Biochem., Issue 80, pages 573-580) in view of Spring et al. (US 5,643,721) further in view of Degen et al. (US 5,567,615).

Grahnén et al. teach an apparatus comprising an insoluble support (sepharose column) having a ligand consisting of bromosulfophthalein attached thereto, without being exposed to albumin (pg. 574, section: *Preparation of Bromosulphophthalein Affinity Column*) in view of Degen et al. (US 5,567,615). Grahnén et al. fail to teach the ligand attached to the support via an epoxy linkage.

Spring et al. teach ligands attached to an agarose substrate by an epoxy linker may be an agarose substrate (col. 5, lines 50-55), in order to provide a mixture that dries in a film form on the surface to which it is applied.

Degen et al. teach a ligand having a hydroxyl group (col. 12, line 46) attached to a polymer support via an epoxy linker (col. 12, lines 41-47), in order to provide attachment of ligands to a polymer substrate.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the apparatus of Grahnén et al., an epoxy linkage between the ligand and the agarose support as taught by Spring et al., in order to provide a simple method of attaching ligands having a hydroxyl group to a substrate by way of a spontaneous covalent attachment as taught by Degen et al. Degen et al. do not specifically teach a bromosulphophthalein ligand being attached to an agarose support. However, Degen et al. teach that epoxy linker attachment is advantageous for ligands having a

Art Unit: 1641

hydroxyl group and Spring et al. teach that an epoxy linker is advantageous to link ligands to an agarose support. Since bromosulphophthalein comprises a hydroxyl group, Degen et al. teach the epoxy linkage would be a simpler and advantageous method of attachment of bromosulphophthalein to a substrate, and Spring et al. teach that it would have been obvious for the substrate that the epoxy linker attaches to, to be an agarose support. Therefore an epoxy linker is advantageously used to attach the ligand to the agarose substrate of Grahnén et al.

With respect to claims 2-6 and 25-27, Grahnén et al. teach that the insoluble support is contained in and supported in a column (affinity column with bromosulphophthalein as a ligand, pg. 574, section: *Preparation of Bromosulphophthalein Affinity Column*; and pg. 575, right column, last 2 paragraphs).

3. Claims 24 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pieper et al. (US 2002/0127739) in view of Grahnén et al. (The preparation of Ligandin with Glutathione-S-Transferase Activity from Porcine Liver Cytosol by Affinity Chromatography on Bromosulphophthalein-Sepharose, 1977, Eur. J. Biochem., Issue 80, pages 573-580) further in view of Spring et al. (US 5,643,721) and Degen et al. (US 5,567,615).

Pieper et al. teach a column comprising one or more additional supports capable of binding one or more non-albumin proteins (par. 0067), wherein the supports include one or more supports capable of binding IgA and IgG (different matrices carrying different binding agents to remove proteins from a sample is provided at par. 0067; sample proteins of IgG and IgA are non-albumin and are listed at pg. 9, Table 1). Pieper et al. fail to teach a ligand of bromosulfophthalein.

Grahnén et al. in view of Spring et al. further in view of Degen et al., as applied to claim 1, teach a ligand comprising bromosulfophthalein attached to an insoluble support a

Application/Control Number: 10/804,592 Page 6

Art Unit: 1641

column (pg. 574, section: *Preparation of Bromosulphophthalein Affinity Column*) via an epoxy linker (Spring and Degen), in order to bind albumin.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include in the column of Pieper et al., a binding agent of bromosulfophthalein as taught by Grahnén et al. in view of Spring et al. further in view of Degen et al., in order to provide a detectable ligand specific to albumin, which strongly influences the affinity of albumin to the ligand and provides detectable properties upon binding which ensures removal.

Regarding claims 30 and 31, Pieper et al. teach a support bindable to IgA (proteins for which a multi-component antibody affinity matrix are listed at pg. 9, Table 1; IgA has a separate column body par. 0102) and a support bindable to IgG (proteins for which a multi-component antibody affinity matrix are listed at pg. 9, Table 1; IgG has a separate column body par. 0102) wherein the support comprises protein A and G cartridge (a column comprising protein G and A bind IgG; see under Table 1).

Response to Arguments

- 4. Previous double patenting rejections have been withdrawn in light of the withdrawal of the relevant claims from the co-pending application.
- 5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 24-31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. The previous rejections under 35 USC 102(b) and 35 USC 103(a) of the claims have been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of applicant's amendment requiring the attachment of a ligand to the insoluble support via an epoxy linkage, which is taught by Spring et al. and Degen et al.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/804,592

Art Unit: 1641

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Melanie Yu whose telephone number is (571) 272-2933. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Melanie Yu Patent Examiner Art Unit 1641

Milanich

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Page 7